

**National Assessment of Achievement of Grade 08
Students in Sri Lanka - 2012**

National Report

**National Education Research and Evaluation Centre (NEREC)
Faculty of Education
University of Colombo
Colombo 03.**

World Bank and Ministry of Education Assisted Publication

May 2013

© National Education Research and Evaluation Centre (NEREC)
Faculty of Education, University of Colombo.

ISBN 978-955-1187-24-8

First Published May 2013

Principal Writer: Marie Perera

Data Analysis: P.K.J.E. Nonis
R.D.C. Niroshinie
Gayathri Abeygunasekara (Department of Examinations)

Data Processing: Nyanie Gamaethige
M.H.S.F. Mahsanie
Nadee Gamaethige
K.P. Ganga Udeshika
Anuradha S. Seneviratne
Chathuri Weerasinghe
Varuni Gurugamage
K.M. Kanishka Karunanayake
Jayathu Amarasinghe
Dinupa Thennakoon
Kanthi Amarasinghe
W.C. Gamlath

Acknowledgements

It gives me pleasure as the Director of NEREC to express my thanks to all those who contributed to make the research study on “National Assessment of Achievement of Students Completing Grade 08 in Year 2012 in Sri Lanka” a success.

- Professor (Mrs.) Kshanika Hirimburegama, Former Vice Chancellor, University of Colombo for her encouragement.
- Professor Marie Perera, Dean, Faculty of Education, University of Colombo for her guidance in initiating the activities of the project and writing the research report.
- Prof. W. Chandradasa, Mr. P.K.J.E Nonis, Ms. R.D.C. Niroshini, Ms. Jeevani Herath, Ms. Kumudu Seneviratne, Ms. Lalitha Kumari, Ms. Sulochana Neranjani, Mr. Kapila Bandara for their immense contribution to accomplish their task as the members of the team.
- Late Ms. P. Jasinghe, Ms. Nilimini Peiris, Ms. Mala Irangani, Ms. P.M. Walpita, Mr. L.K. Waduge, Mr. A.W.A. Siriwardana, Ms. D.S. Gamage and Ms. N.D. Karunarachchi for their services in construction of test items.
- Ms. Gayathri Abeygunasekara, Commissioner of Examinations (Research & Development), Department of Examinations National Evaluation and Testing Services, for helping in selecting items from pre-tested items and item analysis.
- Dr. Harsha Aturupana (Lead Education Specialist), MS. Deepa Shankar and Prof. Upul Sonnadara of the World Bank, for their guidance and support.
- Mr. S.U. Wijeratne, Additional Secretary, (Policy, Planning and Performance Review), Ms. Madura Wehella (Director of Education/Policy and Planning) and Dr. Jayantha Balasooriya (Deputy Director of Education/Policy and Planning), of the Ministry of Education for their unstinted support in developing and launching the project.
- Mr. Ariyadasa Edirisinghe for the services rendered in coordinating test administration and conducting training workshops.
- Ms. Sulochana Neranjani for proof reading the research report.
- All members of NEREC, for their contribution.

- All members of the Research Management Unit of the University of Colombo for the cooperation extended.
- All who participated in test administration and gather background information from school principals, students, teachers and parents.
- All other professionals from the provincial, zonal and school levels who contributed in numerous ways in carrying out this project.
- All others who assisted me in their respective capacities in making this venture a success.

Let me thank all of them, once again.

Prof. M. Karunanithy

Director

National Education Research and Evaluation Centre (NEREC)

Research Team

M. Karunanithy

Marie Perera

W. Chandradasa

P.K. J.E. Nonis

Kapila Bandara

R.D.C. Niroshini

Jeevani Herath

Lalitha Kumari

Sulochana Neranjani

Kumudu Seneviratne

Test Construction Team

Overall coordination - W. Chandradasa

Mathematics

Prasadi Jasinghe - University of Colombo

M.N.P. Peiris - Dept. of Mathematics, National Institute of Education

N.W.P. Mala Irangani - St. Pauls Grils School, Milagiriya

P.M. Walpita - Sirimavo Bandaranaike Vidyalaya, Colombo 07

Science

Kumudu Seneviratne - University of Colombo

L.K. Waduge - Dept. of Science, National Institute of Education

A.W.A. Siriwardana - Retired ISA

D.S. Gamage - Ananda Balika Vidyalaya, Pitakotte

English

Marie Perara - University of Colombo

E. Sulochana Neranjani - University of Colombo

N.D. Karunaarachchi - RESC, Bolawalana

Contents

Acknowledgements	iii
Research Team	v
Test Construction Team	vi
Contents	vii
List of Tables	x
List of Figures	xii
Executive Summary	xv

Chapter 1 – Introduction to the Study

1.1	Background	1
1.2	National Assessment Studies conducted in Sri Lanka	3
1.3	Rationale for the present study	3
1.4	National Assessment of Learning Outcomes- 2012	4
1.5	Summary	5

Chapter 2 – Methodology

2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Objectives of the study	7
2.2.1	Specific objectives of the study	7
2.3	Sampling methodology	8
2.3.1	Desired target population	8
2.3.2	Sampling frame and elements of the sampling frame	9
2.3.3	School level exclusions	9
2.3.4	Defined target population	10
2.3.5	Sample design – procedure	11
2.4	Framework for the National Assessment	13
2.5	Achievement tests	14
2.6	Procedures in Administration of the National Assessment 2012	14
2.7	Analysis of data	16
2.8	Summary	17

Introduction to chapters 3-5	19
------------------------------	----

Chapter 3 - Patterns in Achievement - Mathematics 2012

3.1	Introduction	21
3.2	Patterns of achievement at National Level	21
3.3	Provincial wise student achievement	24
3.3.1	Variation among students	26
3.4	Achievement levels by type of school	30
3.5	Achievement levels by gender	34
3.6	Achievement levels by medium of instruction	38
3.7	Analysis of achievement by competency levels	41
3.8	Summary	49

Chapter 4 - Patterns in Achievement - Science 2012

4.1	Introduction	51
4.2	Patterns of achievement at National Level	51
4.3	Provincial wise student achievement	54
4.3.1	Variation among students	55
4.4	Achievement levels by type of school	58
4.5	Achievement levels by gender	62
4.6	Achievement levels by medium of instruction	66
4.7	Analysis of achievement by competency levels	70
4.8	Summary	79

Chapter 5 - Patterns in Achievement - English Language 2012

5.1	Introduction	81
5.2	Patterns of achievement at National Level	81
5.3	Provincial wise student achievement	84
5.4	Achievement levels by type of school	89
5.5	Achievement levels by gender	93
5.6	Achievement levels by medium of instruction	97
5.7	Analysis of achievement by competency levels	100
5.8	Summary	107

Chapter 6 - Conclusions and the Way Forward

6.1	Introduction	109
6.2	Patterns identified in the achievement of learning outcomes - 2012	109
6.2.1	All island performance	109
6.2.2	Provincial wise performance and trends	110
6.2.3	Achievement according to school types	111
6.2.4	Achievement according to gender	112
6.2.5	Achievement according to medium of instruction	112
6.2.6	Achievement of competency levels	113
6.3	What the findings reveal	113
6.3.1	Impact of the curriculum reforms	113
6.3.2	Opportunities for equity	115
6.4	The way forward	116
	References	119

List of Tables

Chapter 2

Table 2.1:	School level exclusions by provinces	10
Table 2.2:	Illustrates the defined target population by provinces	10
Table 2.3:	Calculated student sample and school sample per province	12
Table 2.4:	Calculated, allocated and achieved student sample per each province	12

Chapter 3

Table 3.1:	All island achievement in mathematics 2012 – cumulative percentages	23
Table 3.2:	Provincial achievement in mathematics 2012 – Summary Statistics	24
Table 3.3:	Representation of students scoring below 50 and 50 or above - Mathematics	29
Table 3.4:	Mathematics marks achievement according to the school type	30
Table 3.5:	Cumulative student percentages according to the school type- Mathematics	32
Table 3.6:	Mathematics achievement in summary statistics table	34
Table 3.7:	Gender wise mathematics analysis cumulative table	36
Table 3.8:	Achievement level by medium of instruction - Mathematics	38
Table 3.9:	Medium wise cumulative percentage Table - Mathematics	40
Table 3.10:	Achievement of competency level related to knowledge and skills	42
Table 3.11:	Achievement of competency levels related to communication	44
Table 3.12:	Achievement of competency levels related to relationships, reasoning and problem solving	45

Chapter 4

Table 4.1:	All island achievement in science 2012 – cumulative percentages	53
Table 4.2:	Provincial achievement in science 2012 – Summary statistics	54
Table 4.3:	Representation of students scoring below 50 and 50 or above - Science	57
Table 4.4:	Science marks achievement according to the school type	58
Table 4.5:	Cumulative student percentages according to the school type - Science	60

Table 4.6:	Science Achievement in summary statistics table	62
Table 4.7:	Gender wise Science analysis cumulative table	65
Table 4.8:	Achievement level by medium of instruction - science	66
Table 4.9:	Medium wise cumulative percentage table - Science	68
Table 4.10:	Competency levels in relation to Biology	71
Table 4.11:	Achievement of Competency levels related to Chemistry	72
Table 4.12:	Achievement of competency levels related to earth science	73
Table 4.13:	Achievement of competency levels related to physics	74

Chapter 5

Table 5.1:	All island achievement in English 2012 – cumulative percentages	83
Table 5.2:	Provincial achievement in English 2012 – Summary Statistics	84
Table 5.3:	Representation of students scoring below 50 and 50 or above – English	88
Table 5.4:	English marks achievement according to the school type	89
Table 5.5:	Cumulative student percentages according to the School Type- English	91
Table 5.6:	English Achievement in summary statistics table	93
Table 5.7:	Gender wise English analysis cumulative table	95
Table 5.8:	Achievement level by medium of instruction – English language	97
Table 5.9:	Medium wise cumulative percentage table – English language	99
Table 5.10:	Competencies and competency levels in English	101

List of Figures

Chapter 3

Figure 3.1:	All island achievement in Mathematics 2012 – dispersion of marks	21
Figure 3.2:	All island achievement in mathematics 2012 – boxplot	23
Figure 3.3:	Bar chart to represent mean among the provinces - Mathematics	25
Figure 3.4:	Box plot chart representing all island mathematics achievement	28
Figure 3.5:	Bar chart representing the mean values according to school types - Mathematics	30
Figure 3.6:	Dispersion of marks by school type - Mathematics	31
Figure 3.7:	Mathematics marks according to the school types using Box plot and whikspers plot	33
Figure 3.8:	Bar chart representing mean values according to gender	34
Figure 3.9:	Dispersion of marks by gender	35
Figure 3.10:	Box plot and whikspers plot representing gender wise mathematics marks	37
Figure 3.11:	Bar chart representing mean values according to medium of instruction - Mathematics	38
Figure 3.12:	Dispersion of marks by medium of instruction - Mathematics	39
Figure 3.13:	Box plot for medium wise achievement - Mathematics	40
Figure 3.14:	Achievement of competency levels related to knowledge and skills	43
Figure 3.15:	Achievement of competency levels related to communication	45
Figure 3.16:	Achievement of competency levels related to Connections, Reasoning and problem solving	46
Figure 3.17:	Facility index value for mathematics	47

Chapter 4

Figure 4.1:	All island achievement in science 2012 – dispersion of marks	51
Figure 4.2:	All island achievement in science 2012 – box plot	52
Figure 4.3:	Bar Chart to represent Mean among the provinces - Science	55
Figure 4.4:	Provincial wise achievement in science 2012 – box plot	56
Figure 4.5:	Bar chart representing the mean values according to school types - Science	58
Figure 4.6:	Dispersion of marks by school type - Science	59

Figure 4.7:	Science marks according to the school types using box plot and whikspers plot	61
Figure 4.8:	Bar chart representing mean values according to gender	63
Figure 4.9:	Dispersion of marks by gender	64
Figure 4.10:	Box plot and whikspers plot representing gender wise_Science marks	65
Figure 4.11:	Bar chart representing mean values according to medium of instruction - Science	67
Figure 4.12:	Dispersion of marks by medium of instruction - Science	67
Figure 4.13:	Box plot for medium wise achievement - Science	69
Figure 4.14:	Achievement of competency levels related to Biology	72
Figure 4.15:	Achievement of competency levels related to chemistry	73
Figure 4.16:	Achievement of competency levels related to earth science	74
Figure 4.17:	Achievement of competency levels related to physics	75
Figure 4.18:	Facility index value for science part I	76
Figure 4.19:	Facility index value for science part II	76
Figure 4.20:	Facility index value for science part III	77

Chapter 5

Figure 5.1:	All island achievement in English 2012 – dispersion of marks	81
Figure 5.2:	Box plot chart representing all island English achievement	82
Figure 5.3:	Bar chart to represent mean among the provinces- English language	85
Figure 5.4:	Box plot chart representing all island English achievement	87
Figure 5.5:	Bar chart representing the mean among the school types- English	89
Figure 5.6:	Dispersion of marks by school type – English language	90
Figure 5.7:	English marks according to the school types using Box plot and whikspers plot	92
Figure 5.8:	Bar chart representing mean values according to gender - English	94
Figure 5.9:	Dispersion of marks by gender - English	94
Figure 5.10:	Box plot and whikspers plot representing gender wise_English marks	96
Figure 5.11:	Bar chart representing mean values according to medium of instruction – English language	97
Figure 5.12:	Dispersion of marks by medium of instruction – English language	98

Figure 5.13:	Box plot for medium wise achievement – English language	99
Figure 5.14:	Competency related to grammar	101
Figure 5.15:	Achievement levels in writing brief notes and mechanics of writing	102
Figure 5.16:	Achievement levels in creative writing	103
Figure 5.17:	Facility values in the competency levels related to vocabulary and reading	104
Figure 5.18:	Facility values for the different test items –English Language	105

Executive Summary

National Assessment of learning outcomes has become an important component of education policy analysis and programme monitoring in Sri Lanka. The National Education Research and Evaluation Centre (NEREC) of the Faculty of Education, University of Colombo has been the forerunner in conducting these assessments.

NEREC has conducted National Assessment of Learning Outcomes both at primary as well as at secondary level. At secondary level National Assessment of learning outcomes were conducted at Grade 8 in 2005 and 2008. This report presents the findings of a National assessment conducted in grade 8 for English, mathematics and science in the year 2012.

The national assessment of learning outcomes of 2012 used new instruments to test cognitive skills in English, mathematics and science in keeping with the new competency based curriculum which was introduced in 2009 in grade 8. Therefore, there is a need to find out whether the introduction of the new curriculum had an impact on the learning outcomes.

However, it is not possible to compare the findings of this assessment with the previous assessments as new test items have been constructed. Instead, the 2012 national assessment will serve as the baseline for monitoring the level and distribution of learning outcomes overtime.

The national assessment covered the entire country and the sample was drawn to enable analysis by province, type of schools, gender and medium of instruction. It also collected information pertaining to correlates of achievement through administering questionnaires to students, teachers, principals and parents. However, this report will be restricted only to the analysis of the achievement of learning outcomes related to cognitive skills. The background information would be discussed in a separate report.

Patterns in learning achievement was discussed using measures of central tendency -Mean and median, Skewness values of the distribution, cumulative percentages

and percentile ranks. In addition, graphs – frequency polygon and box plots were also used

Data gathered through the achievement tests were analyzed on a national and provincial basis in relation to medium of instruction, school type, and gender.

The findings revealed that there is disparity in achievement in all three subjects in relation to provincial performance, school type, gender and medium of instruction.

It was also revealed that the competency based curriculum needs to be revisited.